

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,180	11/13/2003	Hidetada Nago	1232-5208	9816
	7590 02/21/2008 TNNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER .	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101		HOLLIDAY, JAIME MICHELE		
	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOPatentCommunications@Morganfinnegan.com Shopkins@Morganfinnegan.com jmedina@Morganfinnegan.com

Application No. Applicant(s) NAGO, HIDETADA 10/713,180 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2617 Jaime M. Holliday All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jaime M. Holliday. (3)Sungho Hong. (2) Todd Fettig. Date of Interview: 13 February 2008. Type: a) \boxtimes Telephonic b) \square Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Noda. Agreement with respect to the claims f() was reached. g() was not reached. f() N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representatives discussed their clients position that Noda fails to teach the claimed "setting step." Examiner noted the disputed limittation and explained the rejection. Also, Applicants representative discussed the difference between the "communication step" and the prior art of record. Possible amendments to the claims to further clarify the invention were also discussed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview

requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required